Having considered all papers filed in support of and in opposition to said Motion, and
having entertained argument of counsel, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED
that Samtel's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED.
1. The DP-CAC and IP-CAC are DISMISSED in their entirety because this Court
does not have personal jurisdiction over Defendant Samtel Color Limited pursuant to Federal
Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(2).
2. The DP-CAC and IP-CAC are DISMISSED in their entirety because the Direct
Purchaser Plaintiffs and Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs have not stated a claim upon which relief
can be granted pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
3. Accordingly, this Motion is hereby GRANTED in its entirety; and
the DP-CAC and IP-CAC are hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as to Defendant
Samtel Color Limited.
Dated:
Honorable Samuel Conti United States District Judge
ORC 461156-1.081734.0011

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, William Diaz, declare that I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to this action. I am a partner with the law firm of McDermott Will & Emery LLP, 18191 Von Karman, Suite 500, Irvine, CA 92612-7108.

On May 18, 2009, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing [Proposed] Order Granting Samtel Color Limited's Motion To Dismiss Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs Consolidated Amended Complaint And Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs Amended Consolidated Complaint to be electronically filed and served via the Official Court Electronic Document Filing System, which served copies on all parties registered for electronic filing in the above-entitled matter.

Dated: May 18, 2008 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

By: <u>/s/ William Diaz</u> WILLIAM DIAZ

Attorneys for Defendant SAMTEL COLOR LIMITED